

# Trouble at Home



**Brittney M. Miller**

612-877-5299 | [Brittney.Miller@lawmoss.com](mailto:Brittney.Miller@lawmoss.com)

[LawMoss.com/people-brittney-m-miller](http://LawMoss.com/people-brittney-m-miller)

Brittney M. Miller is a member in our Family Law group. She assists clients in all family-related matters, including parenting, support, division of assets, stepparent and same-sex adoptions, antenuptial agreements, and many other issues confronting modern families.



**James J. Vedder**

612-877-5294 | [Jim.Vedder@lawmoss.com](mailto:Jim.Vedder@lawmoss.com)

[LawMoss.com/Jim.Vedder@lawmoss.com](http://LawMoss.com/Jim.Vedder@lawmoss.com)

Jim Vedder is a member of our Family Law group. He has significant trial and settlement experience in complex marital dissolution matters, including the division of marital and nonmarital assets, the division of closely held businesses, spousal maintenance, child support, and custody issues.

**Decisions about custody and parenting time after a separation or divorce are never simple. Family violence of any kind makes the process all the more complex — and the victim is not always protected.**

Nearly 30 million children in the United States will be exposed to family violence by the time they turn 17. Exposure includes a child witnessing a parent physically harm the other parent, observing a parent's injuries after the fact or overhearing verbal abuse directed at a parent.

## The Enduring Impact on Children

Numerous studies have found that domestic abuse can have far-reaching physical and psychological consequences for children. The CDC recognizes that experiencing abuse and witnessing family violence are “adverse childhood experiences,” or ACEs. Traumatic events that occur during adolescence, ACEs are associated with chronic health problems in adulthood, including mental-health troubles and chemical dependency. ACEs can also hinder children from reaching their full adult potential by limiting academic and employment opportunities.

Family courts are uniquely situated to screen cases for domestic abuse and, when necessary, impose safeguards to protect children, including restricting a perpetrator's parenting time or requiring that it occur in a supervised setting. But when courts fall short and fail to intervene or adequately respond to domestic-abuse concerns, the consequences for children can be dire.

The Center for Judicial Excellence tracks family-law matters that end in the homicide of a child. From 2008 to 2021, the group reports, 819 children in the United States were murdered by a parent, stepparent, or other caregiver. Of these tracked cases, 72% involved a father and child; 16% involved a mother and child.

## Defining Domestic Abuse

Also referred to as “intimate partner violence” or “domestic violence,” domestic abuse is violence that occurs between partners or household members. It can take many forms, and it's often nuanced. Some examples:

- **Sexual abuse and coercion:** Physically forcing, threatening, or manipulating the victim to engage in sexual contact or activity without his or her consent.



- **Verbal and emotional abuse:** Name-calling, belittling, degrading, or undermining the victim's self-worth.
- **Financial or economic abuse:** Restricting the victim's access to financial resources, rendering the victim financially dependent on the perpetrator.
- **Coercive control:** Engaging in a pattern of oppressive behavior to control the victim, including stalking, monitoring, or isolating him or her.

Most states limit the legal definition of domestic abuse to acts that cause physical injury or imminent fear of physical harm. More subtle forms of domestic abuse are often omitted from these statutory definitions.

One exception is Colorado, which has broadened its definition of domestic abuse to include forms of coercive control as part of its civil protection order statute. Expanding the term's definition to include less overt forms of abuse presents opportunities for legal protections to reach a greater number of families in need of intervention and protection.

## Domestic Abuse and Custody Determinations

When custody and parenting time are in dispute, family courts are generally required to make decisions consistent with the child's best interests. As part of its analysis, the court must address a variety of "best interest" factors and determine what custodial and parental-access arrangement is optimal.

Many states, including Michigan and New Mexico, include domestic abuse as one of many best interest factors that must be considered when awarding custody and parenting time, but it is not the deciding factor — and in Montana, domestic abuse is a discretionary factor. Other states, such as California and

Minnesota, have enacted a rebuttable presumption that a shared custody arrangement is not in a child's best interest if domestic abuse has occurred. The court must then determine if sole custody is appropriate and to which parent it should be awarded. One might reasonably assume the rebuttable presumption against joint custody in cases involving domestic abuse always results in the victim being awarded sole custody, but that is not always so.

In *Thornton v. Bosquez* (2019), the Minnesota Supreme Court held that the rebuttable presumption against joint custody in domestic violence cases does not apply against a particular party, only against a shared custody arrangement. The trial court had found that the mother committed acts of physical abuse against the father, which met the statutory definition of domestic abuse. However, it also found that the father had substantial power over the mother and engaged in insidious forms of coercive control, but his actions did not meet the statutory definition of domestic abuse. The trial court applied the rebuttable presumption against joint custody and awarded the mother sole custody of the minor child after evaluating all best interest factors. It reached this conclusion even though the mother was, technically, the perpetrator of the abuse. The Minnesota Supreme Court affirmed the decision. This case illustrates the importance of family courts having a nuanced view of domestic abuse and considering the circumstances unique to each family's situation when awarding custody.

## The Co-Parenting Trap

Judicial officers and other professionals working in the family court system have expectations about how divorcing or separating parents should act. They are expected to communicate and work together after their separation, and to support and encourage the other's relationship and parenting time with the child.

---

"Trouble at Home" Continued on Page 9