

PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT

VOLUME 10 **NUMBER 7** **July 2024**

Editor's Note: A Question About Qui Tam Victoria Prussen Spears	207
The Supreme Court Should Finally Rule That the False Claims Act's Qui Tam Provisions Are Unconstitutional Robert S. Salcido and Emily I. Gerry	209
Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council Kicks Off Rulemaking to Ban Certain Semiconductor Purchases; Seeks Comment From Contractors Tracye Winfrey Howard, Megan L. Brown and Jonathan C. Clark	216
Federal Highway Administration Proposes to End Its Buy America Waiver for Manufactured Products Daniel Ramish, Daniel Rounds and Brian Vella	222
In the Courts Steven A. Meyerowitz	226



QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call or email:

Heidi A. Litman at 516-771-2169
Email: heidi.a.litman@lexisnexis.com

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385
Fax Number (800) 828-8341
LexisNexis® Support Center <https://supportcenter.lexisnexis.com/app/home/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call

Your account manager or (800) 223-1940
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385

Library of Congress Card Number:

ISBN: 978-1-6328-2705-0 (print)

ISSN: 2688-7290

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [article title], [vol. no.] PRATT’S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT [page number] (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)
Michelle E. Litteken, GAO Holds NASA Exceeded Its Discretion in Protest of FSS Task Order, 1 PRATT’S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT 30 (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2024 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. Originally published in: 2017

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office
230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF**STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ***President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.***EDITOR****VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS***Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.***BOARD OF EDITORS****MARY BETH BOSCO***Partner, Holland & Knight LLP***PABLO J. DAVIS***Of Counsel, Dinsmore & Shohl LLP***MERLE M. DELANCEY JR.***Partner, Blank Rome LLP***J. ANDREW HOWARD***Partner, Alston & Bird LLP***KYLE R. JEFCOAT***Counsel, Latham & Watkins LLP***JOHN E. JENSEN***Partner, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP***DISMAS LOCARIA***Partner, Venable LLP***MARCIA G. MADSEN***Partner, Mayer Brown LLP***KEVIN P. MULLEN***Partner, Morrison & Foerster LLP***VINCENT J. NAPOLEON***Partner, Nixon Peabody LLP***KEITH SZELIGA***Partner, Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP***STUART W. TURNER***Counsel, Arnold & Porter***ERIC WHYTSELL***Partner, Stinson Leonard Street LLP*

Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report is published 12 times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright © 2024 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 9443 Springboro Pike, Miamisburg, OH 45342 or call Customer Support at 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave. 7th Floor, New York NY 10169.

GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT

Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council Kicks Off Rulemaking to Ban Certain Semiconductor Purchases; Seeks Comment From Contractors

*By Tracye Winfrey Howard, Megan L. Brown and Jonathan C. Clark**

In this article, the authors review an advanced notice of proposed rulemaking issued recently by the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council to implement new restrictions on the sale and use of certain technology under Section 5949 of the James M. Inhofe National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023.

The Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council (FAR Council) has issued an advanced notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPR)¹ to implement parts of Section 5949 of the James M. Inhofe National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year 2023. The ANPR is the first step in the FAR Council's process to implement new restrictions on the sale and use of certain technology under paragraphs (a), (b), and (h) of Section 5949.

When they take effect in 2027, those provisions will ban agencies from acquiring products or services that incorporate semiconductors produced, designed, or provided by specific Chinese companies or other entities that are considered national security threats.

ANPR IS ISSUED

The FAR Council issued the ANPR on May 3, 2024. Comments on the ANPR are due July 2, 2024. The FAR Council must issue a final rule implementing Section 5949 by December 23, 2025. And the semiconductor prohibitions will take effect on December 23, 2027. Products procured by the government before the effective date will not be subject to the prohibitions.

The ANPR is the start of the FAR Council's process to implement the semiconductor restrictions created by paragraphs (a), (b), and (h) of Section 5949. The final rule will require contractors at all levels to provide new certifications for covered semiconductor products or services and scrutinize any electronic parts, products, or services provided to the government. Given the rule's likely far-reaching impacts and potential for contractors to incur

* The authors, attorneys with Wiley Rein LLP, may be contacted at twhoward@wiley.law, mbrown@wiley.law and jclark@wiley.law, respectively.

¹ <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2024/05/03/2024-08735/federal-acquisition-regulation-prohibition-on-certain-semiconductor-products-and-services>.

GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT

substantial costs, the FAR Council is prudently soliciting industry comments on the potential scope and impact of implementing Section 5949's prohibitions before it issues a proposed rule.

SECTION 5949 OF THE FY 2023 NDAA

Section 5949 of the FY 2023 NDAA prohibits agencies from procuring or obtaining products or services that contain or use “covered semiconductor products or services”—those designed, produced, or provided by Chinese companies SMIC, CXMT, or YMTC, or their subsidiaries or affiliates.

Relevant to the ANPR, Section 5949(a)(1)(A) prohibits executive agencies from directly procuring or obtaining electronic products or electronic services that use covered semiconductor products or services. Section 5949(a)(1)(B) prohibits executive agencies from contracting with an entity to procure or obtain electronic parts or products that use any electronic parts or products that include covered semiconductor products or services.

Unlike Section 889 of the FY 2019 NDAA (prohibiting the purchase and use of covered telecommunications equipment and services), Part B does not prohibit contractors from using covered semiconductor products or services for their internal operations. Instead, it focuses on whether the procured electronic products or services use other products that include covered semiconductor products or services.

Section 5949's restrictions reflect Congress' broader focus on countering China's growing semiconductor capabilities. The Intelligence Community has identified significant economic and national security risk from U.S. adversaries' disruption of semiconductors through potential “backdoors” that could be built into American defense, telecommunications, and energy systems, or other malicious firmware and software that could be introduced during the semiconductor production process. By banning the use of certain semiconductors in government-procured products and services, Congress attempted to mitigate this significant national security and economic risk.

THE ANPR'S APPROACH TO IMPLEMENTING SECTION 5949

The ANPR summarizes Section 5949 and explains how the FAR Council intends to implement its prohibitions. The described approach is broad and would require contractors to collect detailed information throughout their entire supply chain. Key defined terms include:

- *Covered Entities*: Broadly covers domestic and foreign entities that design semiconductors using U.S.-origin technology or software and that purchase Secretary of Defense or Commerce-designated products or services or semiconductor products or services from Semiconductor

GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT

Manufacturing International Corporation (SMIC).

- *Covered Semiconductor Product or Service:* A semiconductor, semiconductor product, a product that incorporates a semiconductor product, or a service that uses such a product if:
 - Designed, produced, or provided by Semiconductor Manufacturing International Corporation (SMIC) or its affiliates;
 - Designed, produced, or provided by ChangXin Memory Technologies (CXMT), Yangtze Memory Technologies Corp (YMTC), or their affiliates; or
 - Determined by the Secretary of Defense or Secretary of Commerce (in consultation with intelligence agencies) to be produced or provided by an entity owned, controlled, or connected to the government of a foreign country of concern, and that determination is published in the Federal Register.
- *National Security System:* Encompasses government telecommunications/information systems used for intelligence, cryptological activities relating to national security, military command and control, weapons systems components, or critical military/intelligence functions.

Notably, the ANPR does not clarify the definition of “critical systems” provided in Section 5949 and does not define “use.”

CONTRACTORS MUST CONDUCT A REASONABLE INQUIRY

Borrowing from the Section 889 regulations, the FAR Council is considering a requirement that contractors conduct a “reasonable inquiry” to detect and avoid the use of covered semiconductor products and services. The ANPR provides the following definition of a reasonable inquiry:

Reasonable inquiry means an inquiry designed to uncover any information in the entity’s possession about whether any electronic products or electronic services that are provided to the Government—(1) Include covered semiconductor products or services; or (2) Use electronic products that include covered semiconductor products or services.

The ANPR adds that contractors can “rely on the certifications of compliance from covered entities and subcontractors who supply electronic products or services.” Although a reasonable inquiry would not require independent audits or formal reviews, the FAR Council suggests other due diligence mechanisms may be necessary depending on the situation.

GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT

THE PROPOSED RULE MAY HAVE BROAD SCOPE OF APPLICATION

The FAR Council anticipates the semiconductor restrictions applying to all solicitations and all contracts, including those at or below the simplified acquisition threshold, at or below the micro-purchase threshold (currently \$10,000 for most procurements), and those for commercial products or services, including commercially available off-the-shelf (COTS) items. The FAR Council notes that Section 5949 does not exempt micro-purchases, and many critical products/services are procured in transactions below the micro-purchase threshold. Thus, agencies would include in all solicitations a provision that requires offerors to certify, after conducting a reasonable inquiry, that they will not use covered semiconductor products or services in electronic products or services provided to the government. The semiconductor restrictions would also apply to contracts through a mandatory contract clause included in all government contracts, which would need to be flowed down to subcontractors at every level.

The heads of executive agencies would have limited authority to waive the restrictions in some cases, such as when the agency determines compliant products or services are unavailable or only available at prohibitively expensive prices. Consistent with Section 5949, the FAR Council also reiterates that agencies need not replace products or services procured prior to the December 2027 effective date of the prohibition and may continue to use non-compliant semiconductors through the end-of-life of existing equipment.

The final rule’s potentially broad application will thus affect government contractors at all levels. Even contractors providing electronic products and services through small purchases and those supplying COTS items will need to comply with the semiconductor restrictions and certification requirements.

FAR COUNCIL CONSIDERING REQUIRING PROVENANCE AND SUPPLY CHAIN INFORMATION FOR EVERY CHIP

The FAR Council also notes that it is “considering requiring offerors to identify the provenance of the supply chain for the semiconductor components for each electronic product provided to the Government.” Provenance information might include, but not be limited to, “identification of vendors and facilities responsible for the design, fabrication, assembly, packaging, and test of the product, manufacturer codes used for the product, and distributor codes used for the product.” This potential requirement seems at odds with the limited “reasonable inquiry” discussed elsewhere in the ANPR. Requiring offerors to identify the entire supply chain provenance for each semiconductor in electronics provided to the government goes far beyond a reasonable inquiry and would likely impose a substantial burden on contractors.

GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT

Modern electronics often contain many semiconductors sourced globally, making it incredibly complex to trace the complete path of each component. This is especially true when contractors have multiple subcontractors, each with their own supplier networks. Gathering this information would be extremely time-consuming and resource intensive, and contractors would need to obtain detailed information from their own suppliers and each subcontractor’s suppliers. Considering the FAR Council’s estimate that 75% of contractors will have affected products or services, and the number of semiconductors that could be incorporated into a single product or service sold to the government, the effort required to track the provenance of every single semiconductor could be immense.

REPORT FROM COMMERCE ABOUT COVERED PRODUCTS/ SERVICES

The FAR Council is considering creating a website or report, in collaboration with the U.S. Department of Commerce, to identify electronic products and services that include covered semiconductor products or services. This list could assist offerors and contractors in identifying prohibited products or services. That said, the rapidly changing semiconductor manufacturing landscape means the list likely would not be exhaustive. While contractors could use it to identify definitively prohibited products and services, they would still need to conduct reasonable inquiries to determine whether unlisted items might contain covered semiconductor products or services. The effectiveness of such a list thus would depend on how often the Department of Commerce updates it and how quickly Commerce can identify new affected products and services. Even with a robust list, however, contractors should be wary of relying on it solely and assuming that unlisted items are automatically suitable for government contracts.

FAR COUNCIL ANTICIPATES SUBSTANTIAL IMPACTS ON CONTRACTORS

The FAR Council anticipates the rule will have broad-ranging impacts on contractors, including additional education and training on the new requirements, updated business policies, investigation of contractor and subcontractor semiconductor usage and supply chains, and significant time spent by contractors completing certifications and ensuring compliance. The FAR Council estimates that 75% of new awardees will provide electronic products and services impacted by Section 5949’s prohibitions. It also estimates that, on average, new contracts will have between 5 and 15 covered products and that 10–20% of the semiconductors in those products will be noncompliant.

Finally, the FAR Council estimates contractors would incur around \$10,000 each to replace or update a noncompliant semiconductor. Although the FAR

GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT

Council did not provide an estimated total impact, given that electronics can contain numerous semiconductors, the potential cost impact of these regulations on contractors will be substantial.

MITIGATING SUPPLY CHAIN RISKS

The FAR Council expects to address Section 5949(g), related to mitigating supply chain risks for non-prohibited semiconductor products and services, in a separate rulemaking. This future rulemaking will likely address ongoing efforts to increase and secure the domestic supply chain for semiconductors, such as those funded by the CHIPS and Science Act of 2022.

FAR COUNCIL'S QUESTIONS AND REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

In addition to general feedback about the rule, the FAR Council has 18 specific questions it hopes to receive input on. These questions generally fall into the following categories:

- (1) Clarifying the rule's scope and definitions;
- (2) Details about implementation;
- (3) Supply chain visibility/contractors' ability to comply with restrictions and certification obligations;
- (4) Accuracy of the FAR Council's impact assessment;
- (5) Waivers; and
- (6) Anticipated guidance and compliance best practices.

Feedback during the ANPR process can help shape the final regulations. Accordingly, contractors with views and information about these topics should take advantage of this opportunity to influence the rule's ultimate form and ensure the FAR Council understands the potential effect on their operations. The FAR Council is statutorily obligated to release the final rule by December 23, 2025, so this rule will be moving forward regardless of industry involvement. But its proposed and final forms remain to be seen.