

ALERT

Colorado Enacts Landmark Al Legislation

_

May 20, 2024

On May 17, 2024, Colorado Governor Jared Polis signed into law SB24-205 — Consumer Protections for Artificial Intelligence (Colorado Al Act) — making Colorado as the first state to adopt broad artificial intelligence (Al) legislation. While other states have enacted Alspecific legislation, including a new law in Utah that establishes disclosure requirements for certain uses of generative Al, the landmark Colorado Al Act more broadly establishes requirements for developers and deployers of "high-risk artificial intelligence systems," as well as disclosure requirements for Al systems that are intended to interact with consumers.

The new law is notable not just as a "first" in AI regulation in the United States, but also in its level of complexity: it establishes a range of detailed obligations for AI developers and deployers. The Colorado AI Act also gives the state's Attorney General (AG) plenary rulemaking authority, pointing to even more detailed regulations to come, as we have seen with the Colorado Privacy Act rules.

The new law will go into effect on February 1, 2026 — which will give companies that develop and deploy Al some time to understand and operationalize this groundbreaking new framework, which is complex and includes nuanced thresholds and exceptions. Below we provide a high-level breakdown of the Colorado Al Act to help companies understand the general framework.

Much of the Colorado Al Act Focuses on "High-Risk Artificial Intelligence Systems"

The new law establishes obligations for developers and deployers of "high-risk artificial intelligence systems." A high-risk Al system is defined to include "any [AI] system that, when deployed, makes or is a substantial factor in making, a consequential decision." The law

Authors



Duane C. Pozza Partner 202.719.4533 dpozza@wiley.law Kathleen E. Scott Partner 202.719.7577 kscott@wiley.law Lauren N. Lerman Associate 202.719.4664 llerman@wiley.law

Practice Areas



Artificial Intelligence (AI)
Privacy, Cyber & Data Governance
State Privacy Laws

further defines "consequential decision" to be one that has a "material legal or similarly significant effect on the provision or denial, to any consumer of, or the cost or terms of" a defined category of opportunities and services, to include:

- Education enrollment or an education opportunity;
- · Employment or an employment opportunity;
- A financial or lending service;
- · An essential government service;
- Health-care services;
- · Housing;
- · Insurance; or
- A legal service.

Obligations of Developers of High-Risk AI Systems

The Colorado Al Act places a duty of reasonable care on developers of high-risk Al systems to protect consumers from known or reasonably foreseeable risks of "algorithmic discrimination" arising from high-risk Al systems. "Algorithmic discrimination" is defined as any "condition in which the use of an artificial intelligence system results in an unlawful differential treatment or impact that disfavors an individual or group of individuals on the basis of their actual or perceived age, color, disability, ethnicity, genetic information, limited proficiency in the English language, national origin, race, religion, reproductive health, sex, veteran status, or other classification protected under the laws of [Colorado] or federal law."

The law creates a rebuttable presumption of reasonableness for the developers if they execute the following requirements:

- 1. Disclosures and Documentation for Deployers. Developers of high-risk AI must make available to deployers or other developers: a general statement describing reasonably foreseeable harmful uses of its high-risk AI system; documentation detailing the data used to train the system, limitations of the system, purposes and intended use for the system, and how the system was tested for algorithmic discrimination and any subsequent remedies implemented to mitigate any discrimination risk revealed during the testing; and documentation needed for deployers to conduct impact assessments. Of note, the new law contemplates that the AG may require that a developer disclose this documentation to the AG, as well.
- Public Disclosures. A developer of high-risk Al systems must include on its website a statement
 describing the types of high-risk Al systems the developer currently makes available to deployers and
 how the developer mitigates reasonably foreseeable algorithmic discrimination that stems from its Al
 system.
- 3. **Discrimination Risk Disclosures to the AG and Deployers.** A developer of high-risk AI systems must disclose to the AG (and to all known deployers or other developers of its AI systems) known or

reasonably foreseeable discrimination risks arising from intended uses of its high-risk AI systems no later than 90 days after the developer is made aware of such risks either through its own ongoing testing or through a credible report indicating such a risk exists.

Obligations of Deployers of High-Risk AI Systems

Deployers of high-risk Al systems are also subject to a duty of reasonable care to protect consumers from known or reasonably foreseeable risk of algorithmic discrimination arising from high-risk Al systems. As is the case with developers, deployers can also rely on a rebuttable presumption of reasonableness where the deployer does the following:

- Implements a risk management policy and program. The new law provides details and parameters
 around what a reasonable policy and program should be, and points deployers to the NIST AI Risk
 Management Framework (among other guidance) for consideration.
- 2. Completes **impact assessments** of high-risk Al systems.
- 3. Provides consumer notice, disclosures, and rights, as applicable, with respect to consequential decisions. Specifically, before a consequential decision is made, deployers must provide consumers with notice that the deployer has deployed a high-risk Al system to make, or be a substantial factor in making, consequential decisions, statements that explain the nature of the decision and a description of the system, and information (if applicable) about the consumer's right to opt-out of profiling under the Colorado Privacy Act. If the consequential decision is adverse to a consumer, the deployer must provide the consumer a statement disclosing the reasons for the decision and the data used to make the decision, an opportunity to correct incorrect personal data, and an opportunity to appeal that will include human review (if technically feasible).
- 4. Provides a **website statement** describing the types of high-risk Al systems the deployer currently uses, how the deployer mitigates reasonably foreseeable algorithmic discrimination that stems from its Al system, and the nature and extent of information collected and used by the deployer.
- 5. **Discloses to the AG** a discovery that the high-risk AI has caused algorithmic discrimination, within 90 days of the discovery.

Disclosure Requirements for Developers and Deployers of Interactive Al

While most the new law's provisions focus on high-risk Al systems, it also establishes a broader consumer notice requirement for any Al system that "is intended to interact with consumers," except for instances where "it would be obvious to a reasonable person that the person is interacting with an Al system."

The Colorado Al Act is a significant step in the regulation of Al, including both Al development and use of Al tools by businesses in Colorado. As with consumer privacy laws, it raises the possibility of a patchwork state-by-state regulatory approach that poses challenges for implementation. Even standing alone, the law and potential AG regulations will require close attention by companies using Al — and considering using Al — for a

wide range of purposes.

Wiley's Artificial Intelligence Practice counsels clients on Al compliance, risk management, and regulatory and policy approaches, and we engage with key government stakeholders in this quickly moving area. Please reach out to a member of our team with any questions.